

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/676,436

Applicant(s)

TOTH ET AL.

Examiner

Ganapathy Krishnan

Art Unit

1623

All Participants:

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(2) Mr. Mark Moore.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see continuation sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the attorney of record that:

1. The compound of instant formula I is found to be allowable and hence claims 18 and 20, drawn to a method of making the said compounds are also allowable and would be rejoined.
2. If claims 21-23, drawn to method of use, which have 35 USC 112 first paragraph issues, are cancelled, the rest of the pending claims may be in a condition for allowance.

The attorney agreed to cancel claims 21-23 and authorized the cancellation via an Examiner's Amendment.